

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,976	09/15/2003	Steven Lynch	DIIF-P01-001	2258
28120 7590 12/20/2006 FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP		EXAMINER		
ROPES & GRAY LLP			MISIASZEK, MICHAEL	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200101,1			3625	
	· 			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,976	LYNCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Misiaszek	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	ctober 2006.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-19 and 25-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 20-24</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 20-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/662,976

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-7 and 20-24 in the reply filed on 10/18/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of all claims would not put undue burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because examination of the non-elected groups would require additional searching and would thus be an undue burden to the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft et al. (US 6424845, hereinafter Emmoft) in view of Mobley et al. (US 20030230628 A1, hereinafter Mobley).

Regarding Claim 1

Emmoft discloses a communication device distributed to a user, the device comprising:

an enclosure or support (at least abstract: housing)

Art Unit: 3625

a wireless transmitter disposed in or on the housing or support and capable of

transmitting in response to the solicitation data via a wireless communication

channel (at least figure 1: antenna)

• an activation button to activate the device in at least two response modes,

wherein the response modes are preprogrammed in the device (at least column

3. lines 12-18: biometric activation allows user to enable device for a number of

operating options)

Emmoft does not discloses utilizing an electronic communication device in a

solicitation. Mobley teaches that it is known to include an electronic communication

device in a solicitation (at least abstract) in a similar environment. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the

communication device, as taught by Emmoft, with the use in a solicitation, as taught by

Mobley, since such a modification would have provided decrease burden for a soliciting

body in individual customer contact (at least paragraph [0002] of Mobley).

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 5-7

Emmoft discloses:

a data input device for entering data corresponding to an response mode (at

least column, lines 20-35: user selections option for mode of operation)

Art Unit: 3625

 a display that displays a prompt to a user or data entered by the user in response to the prompt, or both (at least column 5, lines 1-13: display prompts user to enter voice data)

- a receiver adapted to receive data over the wireless communication channel (at least abstract: communications facility adapted to receive data)
- a stored electronic identifier identifying a provider distributing the solicitation (at least column 4, lines 20-34 and column 5, lines 14-36: stored identifier allows identification of device and provider)
- the enclosure or support comprises an envelope, a package or a twodimensional support structure (at least abstract, figure 1: housing is a case or package)

Regarding Claims 20-22

Emmoft discloses a method for eliciting an electronic response from a customer through an electronic device, comprising:

- activating the electronic device for communication via a wireless communication channel (at least column 3, lines 12-18: user recognition activates device)
- selecting a response mode of the device (at least column 3, lines 19-35: user selects option for operation)
- transmitting said response mode to a provider (at least column 3, lines 36-56: user option transmitted to provider)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,976

Art Unit: 3625

receiving via the communication channel or a separate communication path a
response from the provider conforming to the selected response mode (at least
column 4, lines 1-19: data display corresponding to selected option)

Page 5

- displaying the response from the provider and entering customer information in response to a displayed response (at least column 4, lines 1-19: data display corresponding to selected option; user can enter data relevant to option selected)
- transmitting via the wireless communication channel customer information to the provider conforming to the selected response mode (at least column 5, lines 29-36: relevant personal information transmitted)

Emmoft does not discloses utilizing an electronic communication device in a solicitation. Mobley teaches that it is known to include an electronic communication device in a solicitation (at least abstract) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method for eliciting an electronic response, as taught by Emmoft, with the use of an electronic communication device in a solicitation, as taught by Mobley, since such a modification would have provided decrease burden for a soliciting body in individual customer contact (at least paragraph [0002] of Mobley).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,976

Art Unit: 3625

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft in view of Mobley as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, and 20-22 above, and further in view of Cargin, Jr. et al. (US 20040093363 A1, hereinafter Cargin).

Page 6

The combination of Emmoft and Mobley discloses the claimed invention except for:

a removable activation strip to activate the device

Cargin teaches that it is known to include a removable activation strip to activate a portable communication device (at least paragraph [0092]: non-conductive strip removed to allow device activation) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the communication device, as taught by Emmoft and Mobley, with the removable activation strip, as taught by Cargin, since such a modification would have provided improved avoidance of electrostatic discharge in a portable device (at least paragraph [0055] of Cargin).

Art Unit: 3625

3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft in view of Mobley as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, and 20-22 above, and further in view of Aufricht at al. (US 20020052781 A1, hereinafter Aufricht).

The combination of Emmoft and Mobley discloses the claimed invention except for:

 the customer information includes a telephone number or an email address of the customer

Aufricht teaches that it is known to include transmitting an email address to a provider (at least paragraph [0192]: email address transmitted to advertiser) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method for eliciting an electronic response, as taught by Emmoft and Mobley, with the transmitting of an email address, as taught by Aufricht, since such a modification would have provided more efficient user-advertiser interaction (at least paragraph [0191] of Aufricht).

Art Unit: 3625

3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft in view of Mobley as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, and 20-22 above, and further in view of Deguchi (US 20030236711 A1).

The combination of Emmoft and Mobley discloses the claimed invention except for:

 the displayed response includes at least one of a savings code and an activation code.

Deguchi teaches that it is known to include displaying a savings code (at least paragraph [0077]: promotion code displayed to customer) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method for eliciting an electronic response, as taught by Emmoft and Mobley, with the displaying of a savings code, as taught by Deguchi, since such a modification would have provided discount information to customers conveniently (at least paragraph [0006] of Deguchi).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Misiaszek whose telephone number is (571) 272-6961. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael A. Misiaszek Patent Examiner 12/11/2006 YOGESH C. GARG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY CENTER 3600
TECHNOLOGY